Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) @gmail.com] From:

Sent: 5/1/2022 6:17:21 PM

To: Rau, Ben (ECY) [benr461@ECY.WA.GOV]; Sandison, Derek (AGR) [dsandison@agr.wa.gov]; Watson, Laura (ECY)

[lawa461@ecy.wa.gov]; Flege, Kyrre (AGR) [kflege@agr.wa.gov]

CC: Daniel (AGR) [DSulak@agr.wa.gov]; Pirzadeh, Michelle [Pirzadeh.Michelle@epa.gov];

Julia.Reitan@washington.sierraclub.org; Regan, Michael [Regan.Michael@epa.gov]; Eoc, Epahq

[Eoc.Epahq@epa.gov]; washington@tnc.org; Anson.Tebbetts@vermont.gov; Terry.Smith@vermont.gov;

psa@pugetsoundkeeper.org; Peak, Nicholas [Peak.Nicholas@epa.gov]; Andrew Hawley [hawley@westernlaw.org];

Craig Bowhay [cbowhay@nwifc.org]; steveo@co.skagit.wa.us; rickh@co.skagit.wa.us; mpia461@ecy.wa.gov; Jamila

(GOV) [Jamila.Thomas@gov.wa.gov]; Blackmore, Laura (PSP) [laura.blackmore@psp.wa.gov]; Alex (PSP) [alex.mitchell@psp.wa.gov]; Ahren (PSP) [ahren.stroming@psp.wa.gov]; RCO MI General Info (RCO)

[info@rco.wa.gov]; Kyle K (DFW) [Vincent.Adicks@dfw.wa.gov]; Erik (GSRO) [erik.neatherlin@gsro.wa.gov]; Marty

(ECY) [MAJA461@ecy.wa.gov]; info@charlietebbutt.com; info@blueriverlaw.com; jlaughlin@cascwild.org;

nick@cascwild.org; nrdcinfo@nrdc.org ( @gmail.com; blanouette@upperskagit.com;

michaelk@skagitlandtrust.org; vonessen.ashley@nisqually-nsn.gov; Epstein, Larry (PSP) [larry.epstein@psp.wa.gov]; diane.hennessey@epa.gov; marine@washington.sierraclub.org Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) @olympus.net (Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)) @msn.com;

Ralph (ECY) [RSVR461@ecy.wa.gov]; hpickernell@chehalistribe.org; andy.joseph@colvilletribes.com;

dbarnett@cowlitz.org; lisa.martinez@hohtribe-nsn.org; rallen@jamestowntribe.org; jeromys@pgst.nsn.us;

tomwooten@samishtribe.nsn.us; gmiller@skokomish.org; trgobin@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov;

delano\_saluskin@yakama.com; bill.sterud@puyalluptribe-nsn.gov; nmaltos@sauk-suiattle.com;

ewhite@stillaguamish.com

Subject: Re: Weekly Goals Sandison / Watson, Flege, Rau

Just a friendly reminder of your responses are due or either past due for the following:

Sandison / Watson - Justification for not eliminating manure applications in flood plains when it has been shown that with the voluntary compliance program now in place agricultural is unable to predict flooding in a consistent manner causing non point agricultural pollution in Washington state. The response should also address how other states have found regulations prohibiting manure applications to be not a burden to agriculture, yet Washington state is unable to do so. Also addressing how the USDA's preferred BMP elimination of manure applications in flood plains would not be of value in Washington state.

Flege - Information on application of approximate 500 yds of manure subject to flooding on Stillaguamish flood plain reported in ERTs 10/6/2021, spread approximately 4/1/2022

DAIRY FARM?

OWNER OF EQUIPMENT WHO TRANSPORTED SOLIDS LAST OCTOBER?

SOLIDS WERE PAID FOR?

WHO OWNED EQUIPMENT FOR SPREADING AND INCORPORATION TO SOIL THIS APRIL?

Rau - Status of creating a more inclusive Water Quality Advisory Committeebased on the member list you provided on 4/22/2022. As you may recall, unless you specifically desire such a biased group devoid of tribal and environmental advocates, it would seem that you must recruit 7-8 members from the treaty Tribes, Northwest Indian Fisheries, and Environmental groups. This stakeholder deficiency needs to be the focus of the next Agriculture and Water Quality Advisory Committee meeting. I see 17 members, excluding Ms. Watson as unbiased for the state. With the absent Ms. Skinner as one environmental advocate and Ms. Barton, we have 2/17 = 12% of the committee as environmental advocates, which as you point out for the last few years has been effectively 6% due to Ms. Skinner's absence. Thus, the Agriculture and Water Quality

Advisory Committee has perhaps one environmental advocate, Ms. Barton, hardly by any stretch of the imagination a balanced group to discuss the future of the Washington State waters even if there are a few other unbiased members counted incorrectly.

Awaiting your timely and most kind responses in Stanwood, I am

John Raffaldi

On Sun, May 1, 2022 at 11:03 AM Lynn & John Raffaldi < <a href="mailto:lig7104@gmail.com">lig7104@gmail.com</a> wrote: Just a friendly reminder of your responses are due or either past due for the following:

Sandison / Watson - Justification for not eliminating manure applications in flood plains when it has been shown that with the voluntary compliance program now in place agricultural is unable to predict flooding in a consistent manner causing non point agricultural pollution in Washington state. The response should also address how other states have found regulations prohibiting manure applications to be not a burden to agriculture, yet Washington state is unable to do so. Also addressing how the USDA's preferred BMP elimination of manure applications in flood plains would not be of value in Washington state.

Flege - Information on application of approximate 500 yds of manure subject to flooding on Stillaguamish flood plain reported in ERTs 10/6/2021, spread approximately 4/1/2022